

MARK WARDLAW
DIRECTOR
PHONE (858) 694-2962
FAX (858) 694-2555

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 310, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds


AN ADDENDUM TO THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF PDS2016-TM-5364TE

May 12, 2017

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15164(b) states that an Addendum to a previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions are necessary or none of the conditions described in Section 15162 or 15163 calling for the preparation of a subsequent Mitigated Negative Declaration have occurred.

There are some minor changes and additions, which need to be included in an Addendum to the previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration to accurately cover the new project. The additions are underlined and deletions are struck out. The changes and additions consist of the following:

- 1. To the Project Name add: <u>Daniels Gray Rabbit Hollow Tentative Map Time Extension</u>
- 2. To the Project Number(s) add: <u>PDS2016-TM-5364TE; PDS2016-ER-04-02-009B</u>
- 3. To the first paragraph add as indicated: <u>The Mitigated Negative Declaration for this project is comprised of this form along with the Environmental Review Update Checklist Form for Projects with a Previously Approved Environmental Document dated May 12, 2017which includes the following forms attached.</u>
 - A. An Addendum to the previously approved Mitigated Negative Declaration with an Environmental Review Update Checklist Form for Projects with a Previously Approved Environmental Document dated May 12, 2017.
 - B. An Ordinance Compliance Checklist
 - C. <u>An updated Major Stormwater Quality Management Plan (Major SWQMP)</u> prepared by Chang Consultants, dated December 21, 2016.



MARK WARDLAW

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 310, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds
PHONE (858) 694-2962 FAX (858) 694-2555

May 12, 2017

Environmental Review Update Checklist Form For projects with Previously Approved Environmental Documents

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF DANIELS GRAY RABBIT HOLLOW TENTATIVE MAP (TM) TIME EXTENSION PDS2016-TM-5364TE

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15164 set forth the criteria for determining the appropriate additional environmental documentation, if any, to be completed when there is a previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) covering the project for which a subsequent discretionary action is required. This Environmental Review Update Checklist Form has been prepared in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15164(e) to explain the rationale for determining whether any additional environmental documentation is needed for the subject discretionary action.

1. Background on the previously adopted MND:

A MND for Daniels Tentative Map, PDS2004-3100-5364, was adopted by the Planning Commission on May 22, 2009. The adopted MND found the project would have potentially significant effects to traffic that were clearly mitigated. The potentially significant effect that was clearly mitigated consisted of the following: cumulative impact from the addition of 120 average daily trips, mitigated by the payment of TIF.

A preliminary decision by the Director, Department of PDS approving a Revised Tentative Map for TM-5364 became final at the Planning Commission hearing on January 22, 2016. The Revised Map allowed removal of the following conditions: dedicate the right-of-way on Green Canyon Road, improve the western portion of Green Canyon Road with pavement and a decomposed granite pathway, and remove the existing barn on Lot 4.

- Lead agency name and address:
 County of San Diego, Planning & Development Services
 5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 110
 San Diego, CA 92123
 - a. Contact Marisa Smith, Project Manager
 - b. Phone number: (858) 694-2621
 - c. E-mail: marisa.smith@sdcounty.ca.gov

May	12,	2017	,
-----	-----	------	---

Daniels Grey Rabbit Hollow
Tentative Map Time Extension
PDS2016-TM-5364TE

☐ Population & Housing

☐ Transportation/Traffic

-2-

3.	Project applicant's name and address:					
	Geoffrey Daniels, 185 Farm to Market Ct. Whitefish, Montana 59937					
4.	Summary of the activities authorized by present permit/entitlement application(s):					
	The present permit applica Map by the maximum allow date would become May 22	ved by the Subdivision Map A	ion date of the approved Tentative ct (six years) so that the expiration			
5.	5. Does the project for which a subsequent discretionary action is now proposed differ in any way from the previously approved project? YES NO					
	If yes, describe <u>ALL</u> differe	ences.				
	No changes are proposed to the project or to protect conditions of approval.					
6.	SEVERE SIGNIFICANT IDENTIFIED IN THE PRE determined to be new sign that have a substantial income.	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTIVITY EVIOUS ND OR EIR. The soliticant environmental effects of the crease in severity either due formation of substantial important.	OR SUBSTANTIALLY MORE CTS COMPARED TO THOSE ubject areas checked below were or to be previously identified effects to a change in project, change in ance, as indicated by the checklist			
_	NONE Aesthetics	☐ Agriculture and Forest Resources	☐ Air Quality			
	Biological Resources	☐ Cultural Resources	☐ Geology & Soils			
_	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	☐ Hazards & Haz Materials	☐ Hydrology & Water Quality			
	Land Use & Planning	☐ Mineral Resources	□ Noise			

☐ Public Services

Systems

☐ Utilities & Service

☐ Recreation

Significance

 $\hfill \square$ Mandatory Findings of

DETERMINATION:

INTRODUCTION

CEQA Guidelines Sections 15162 through 15164 set forth the criteria for determining the appropriate additional environmental documentation, if any, to be completed when there is a previously adopted MND for the project.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15162(a) and 15163 state that when an MND has been adopted, no Subsequent MND shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in light of the whole public record, one or more of the following:

- 1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
- 2. Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.
- 3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous MND was adopted, shows any of the following:
 - a. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous MND; or
 - b. Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previously adopted MND; or
 - c. Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
 - d. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous MND would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

CEQA Guidelines, Section 15164(b) states that an Addendum to a previously adopted MND may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions are necessary.

The following responses detail any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that may cause one or more effects to environmental resources. The responses support the "Determination," above, as to the type of environmental documentation required, if any.


ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UPDATE CHECKLIST

I. AESTHETICS – Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to aesthetic resources including: scenvistas; scenic resources including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, or historic building within a state scenic highway; existing visual character or quality of the site and its surrounding or day or nighttime views in the area? YES NO	of nic ngs
II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES Since the previous MND was adopted	ed,
are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects agriculture or forestry resources including: conversion of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance to a non-agricultural use, conflicts with existing zoning agricultural use or Williamson Act contract, or conversion of forest land (as defined in Puk Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code secti 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code secti 51104(g))?	to or for olic ion
YES NO	
III. AIR QUALITY Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to air quality including: conflicts with obstruction of implementation of the San Diego Regional Air Quality Strategy (RAQS) applicable portions of the State Implementation Plan (SIP); violation of any air quality standard substantial contribution to an existing or projected air quality violation; a cumulatively consideral net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard; exposure of sensitive receptors substantial pollutant concentrations; or creation of objectionable odors affecting a substan number of people?	of or or l or ble an to

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to biological resources including: adverse effects on any sensitive natural community (including riparian habitat) or species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in a local or regional plan, policy, or regulation, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; adverse effects to federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act; interference with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with wildlife corridors, or impeding the use of native wildlife nursery sites; and/or

NO

YES



NO YES

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to cultural resources including: causing a change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource as defined in State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5; destroying a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature; and/or disturbing any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

> YES NO

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects from geology and soils including: exposure of people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction, strong seismic ground shaking, or landslides; result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil; produce unstable geological conditions that will result in adverse impacts resulting from landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse; being located on expansive soil creating substantial risks to life or property; and/or having soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

NO YES M

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS -- Since the previous EIR was certified or previous ND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects related to environmental effects associated with greenhouse gas emissions or compliance with applicable plans, policies or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing greenhouse gas emissions?


> YES NO

The California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) CEQA Climate Change White Paper (CAPCOA White Paper) dated January 2008 recommends using a 900 metric tons (MT) screening level to determine GHG impacts. As stated in the CAPCOA White Paper, 900MT of GHG emissions are generally produced by a 50-unit single family residential or 70-unit multifamily development. Since the project propose eight single family residential lots, the project's GHG emissions would be below the CAPCOA-recommended 900MT screening level and impacts would be less than significant. The proposed Time Extension would not result in significant impacts related to GHG emissions. Further, there are no changes in circumstance under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects relating to GHG emissions.

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects from hazards and hazardous materials including: creation of a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, storage, use, or disposal of hazardous materials or wastes; creation of a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment; production of hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school; location on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 creating a hazard to the public or the environment; location within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport; within the vicinity of a private airstrip resulting in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area; impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan; and/or exposure of people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?



IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause one or more effects to hydrology and water quality including: violation of any waste discharge requirements; an increase in any listed pollutant to an impaired water body listed under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act; cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable surface or groundwater receiving water quality objectives or degradation of beneficial uses; substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level; substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area in a manner which would result in substantial erosion, siltation or flooding on- or off-site; create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems; provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; place housing or other structures which would impede or redirect flood flows within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, including County Floodplain Maps; expose



where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, or for projects within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or

NO

YES

working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

<u>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING</u> -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more effects to population and housing including displacing substantial numbers of existing housing or people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

YES NO □

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in one or more substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the following public services: fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, or other public facilities?

YES NO ⊠

XV. RECREATION -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in an increase in the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated; or that include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

YES NO

XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC — Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause effects to transportation/traffic including: an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system; exceedance, either individually or cumulatively, of a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways; a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks; substantial increase in hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment); inadequate emergency access; inadequate parking capacity; and/or a conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

YES NO ⊠

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that cause effects to utilities and service systems including: exceedance of wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board; require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities, new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects; require new or expanded entitlements to water supplies or new water resources to serve the project; result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments; be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs; and/or noncompliance with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?



XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE: Since the previous MND was adopted, are there any changes in the project, changes in circumstances under which the project is undertaken and/or "new information of substantial importance" that result in any mandatory finding of significance listed below?

Does the project degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

YES	NO
	\boxtimes

Attachments

- Previous environmental documentation
- Addendum to the previously adopted MND

XVIII. REFERENCES USED IN THE COMPLETION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UPDATE CHECKLIST FORM

California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Fish and Wildlife Code, Section 1600 et. seq.

California Environmental Quality Act, CEQA Guidelines

California Environmental Quality Act. 2001. California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15382.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, Title 14, Natural Resources, Division 7

California Integrated Waste Management Board, Title 27, Environmental Protection, Division 2, Solid Waste

California Public Resources Code, CPRC, Sections 40000-41956

County Code of Regulatory Ordinances, Title 3, Division 5, Chapter 3

County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance (Agricultural Use Regulation, Sections 2700-2720)

County of San Diego. Resource Protection Ordinance, Article II (16-17). October 10, 1991

County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO) (Ordinance Nos. 9424 and 9426, County Codes §§ 67801 et seq.)

Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection

Order No. 2001-01, NPDES No. CAS 0108758, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region

Ordinance 8334, An Ordinance to amend the San Diego County Code of Regulatory Ordinances relating to Flood Damage Prevention, Adopted by the Board of Supervisors on 12/7/93

Public Resources Code Sections 4290 and 4291

San Diego County Light Pollution Code (San Diego County Code Section 59.101)

The Importance of Imperviousness from *Watershed Protection Techniques* Vol. 1, No. 3 - Fall 1994 by Tom Schueler Center for Watershed Protection

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 1976

Uniform Fire Code, Article 9 and Appendix II-A, Section 16

Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Basin (9), California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region



ERIC GIBSON

County of San Diego

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND LAND USE

5201 RUFFIN ROAD, SUITE B, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92123-1666 INFORMATION (858) 694-2960 TOLL FREE (800) 411-0017 www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu

MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

February 10, 2009

Project Name: Daniels Tentative Map

Project Number(s): TM 5364, ER# 04-02-009

This Mitigated Negative Declaration is comprised of this form along with the Environmental Initial Study that includes the following:

a. Initial Study Form

b. Attached extended studies for Grading, Hydrology, Agriculture, Archaeology, Traffic, and Stormwater Management

1. California Environmental Quality Act Mitigated Negative Declaration Findings:

Find, that this Mitigated Negative Declaration reflects the decision-making body's independent judgment and analysis, and; that the decision-making body has reviewed and considered the information contained in this Mitigated Negative Declaration and the comments received during the public review period; and that revisions in the project plans or proposals made by or agreed to by the project applicant would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur; and, on the basis of the whole record before the decision-making body (including this Mitigated Negative Declaration) that there is no substantial evidence that the project as revised will have a significant effect on the environment.

2. Required Mitigation Measures:

Refer to the attached Environmental Initial Study for the rationale for requiring the following measures:

TRANSPORTATION: The payment of the Transportation Impact Fee, which will be required at issuance of building permits, in combination with other components of this program, will mitigate potential cumulative traffic impacts to less than significant.

3. Critical Project Design Elements That Must Become Conditions of Approval:

The following project design elements were either proposed in the project application or the result of compliance with specific environmental laws and regulations and were essential in reaching the conclusions within the attached Environmental Initial Study. While the following are not technically mitigation measures, their implementation must be assured to avoid potentially significant environmental effects.

The following conditions shall be complied with before a Final Map is approved by the Board of Supervisors and filed with the County Recorder of San Diego County (and, where specifically, indicated, shall also be complied with prior to issuance of grading or other permits as specified):

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Street Improvements

- Standard Conditions 1 through 10.
- 2. Specific Conditions:
 - a. Improve or agree to improve and provide security for Green Canyon Road (SC 71), a Circulation Element Light Collector, along the easterly boundary of Boundary Adjustment / Certificate of Compliance Plat B/C 03-0212 to a one-half graded width of thirty feet (30') with twenty feet (20') of asphalt concrete pavement over approved base with Portland cement concrete curb, gutter, and nine and one-half feet (9.5') wide Class II walkway/pathway with face of curb at twenty feet (20') from centerline. The centerline of Green Canyon Road shall be realigned as necessary to conform to County Public Light Collector Road Standards, with traffic striping, with traffic control, and with taper transitions constructed to meet existing improvements. All the foregoing shall be to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

- b. Improve or agree to improve and provide security for the private easement road, Street 'A', from Green Canyon Road improvements westerly to access driveways to Lots 6 and 7 to a graded width of twenty-eight feet (28') and to an improved width of twenty-four feet (24') with asphalt concrete pavement over approved base with asphalt concrete dike where necessary to control drainage. The improvement and design standards of Section 3.1(C) of the County Standards for Private Streets for one hundred one (101) to seven hundred fifty (750) trips shall apply. All of the above shall be to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.
- c. Hand-rake and compact asphalt concrete surfacing material to form smooth tapered connections along all edges including those edges adjacent to soil. The edges of asphalt concrete shall be hand-raked at 45 degrees or flatter, so as to provide a smooth transition next to existing soil, including those areas scheduled for shoulder backing. The above shall be done to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.
- d. Have a registered civil engineer, a registered traffic engineer, or a licensed surveyor provide a signed statement that: "Physically, there is a minimum adequate unobstructed sight distance in both directions along Green Canyon Road from the proposed private easement road, Street A serving the project, for the prevailing operating speed of traffic on Green Canyon Road, per Section 6.1.E of the County Public Road Standards (approved July 14, 1999)". If the lines of sight fall within the existing public road right-of-way, the engineer or surveyor shall further certify that said lines of sight fall within the existing right-of-way and a clear space easement is not required. These certifications shall be to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.
- e. Terminate Street A at Lots 6 and 7 with a cul-de-sac graded to a radius of forty feet (40') and surfaced to a radius of thirty-six feet (36') with asphalt concrete pavement over approved base with asphalt concrete dike with the face of dike at thirty-six feet (36') from the radius point to the satisfaction of the North County Fire Protection District and the Director of Public Works.
- f. If guarded access is used along Street A, improve it in accordance with San Diego County Design Standards DS-17, DS-18 or DS-19 to the satisfaction of the North County Fire Protection District, and the Director of Public Works. Gates installed across Street 'A' and emergency access road to conform to NCFPD standards for electric gates, to include opticom strobe sensor, exit loop detectors, battery backup, and knox key switch. Gate across emergency access road must be an electric gate, to conform

to same standards as noted above.

g. Posting and red stripe road less than thirty-six foot (36') improved width to the satisfaction of the North County Fire Protection District. Show this information on the Final Map as "Non Title Information".

Access/Flood Control

- 3. Standard Conditions 13 through 18.
- 4. Specific Conditions:
 - a. On-site and Off-site drainage easements shall be provided to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.
 - b. Comply with applicable storm water regulations at all times. The activities proposed under this application are subject to enforcement under permits from the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) and the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Storm water Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance (Ordinance No. 9424, Ordinance No. 9426, and Ordinance No. 9518) and all other applicable ordinances and standards. This includes requirements for materials and wastes control, erosion control, and sediment control on the project site. Projects that involve areas greater than 1 acre require that the property owner keep additional and updated information onsite concerning storm water runoff. This requirement shall be to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.
 - c. It is determined that the project includes category 2 post-construction BMPs, the applicant will be required to establish a maintenance agreement/mechanism (to include easements) to assure maintenance of these BMPs and to provide security to back up maintenance pursuant to the County Maintenance Plan Guidelines to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

FAIR HOUSING

5. Standard Condition 20.

SANITATION

6 Standard Condition 22.

7. Specific Conditions:

a. Prior to recordation of a Final Map, the subdivider shall provide evidence that the existing septic system serving the existing home and guest house on parcel 5 of the Tentative Map has been abandoned and a new septic system has been installed to the satisfaction of the Director of Environmental Health.

FIRE PROTECTION AND WATER SUPPLY

8. Standard Conditions 23.1 and 23.2.

PLANNING AND ZONING ADMINISTRATION

- 9. Specific Conditions:
 - a. No lot shall contain a net area of less than one acre. [DPLU Regulatory Planning Division]
 - b. Prior to approval of a Final Map, the subdivider shall provide evidence that the "existing barn to be removed" on parcel 4 of the Tentative Map has been removed to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES

- 10. Specific Conditions:
 - a. Deposit with the County Department of Public Works sufficient funds to cover the cost of inspection of the private road improvements.
 - b. Deposit with the County Department of Public Works \$220.00. Said deposit shall be used to cover the cost of site inspection by a County geologist to determine whether any geologic hazard exists and, if such is found, to review the geologic report prepared by the developer's engineering geologist. The developer shall reimburse the County Department of Public Works for any cost in excess of the deposit prior to recording the Final Map. Any unused portion of the deposit will be refunded.

FINAL MAP RECORDATION

Final Map requirements shall be shown on the Final Map or otherwise accomplished to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works prior to submittal for approval by the Board of Supervisors:

Streets and Dedication

11. Specific Conditions:

- a. Prior to approval of the Final Map, cause to be granted that portion of Green Canyon Road (SC 71), a Circulation Element Light Collector, which lies within the boundary of the related Boundary Adjustment / Certificate of Compliance Plat B/C 03-0212 to a one-half width of thirty feet (30') each side of centerline in accordance with Public Light Collector Road Standards, together with right to construct and maintain slopes and drainage facilities to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.
- b. Contact the Department of Public Works to determine the desired location of the centerline for Green Canyon Road (SC 71), which is shown on the Circulation Element of the County General Plan as a Light Collector. The following shall be shown on the Final Map:
 - (1) The centerline location as approved by the Department of Public Works.
- c. Because private roads are approved as a condition of this subdivision, the following shall apply:
 - (1) Maintenance shall be provided through a private road maintenance agreement satisfactory to the Director of Public Works.
 - (2) The Director of Public Works shall be notified as to the final disposition of title (ownership) to each private road, and place a note on the Final Map as to the final title status of said roads.
 - (3) Access to each lot shall be provided by private road easement not less than forty feet (40') wide.
- d. If the private roads are separate lots, they shall have lot numbers consecutive with the other lot numbers.
- e. Road widths less than thirty-six foot (36') improved width will require posting and red striping to the satisfaction of the North County Fire Protection District. This information shall be shown on the Final Map as "Non Title Information".
- f. Prior to approval of improvement and/or grading plans, issuance of excavation permits, and issuance of any further grant of approval, the

owners of this project will be required to sign a statement that they are aware of the County of San Diego, Department of Public Works, Pavement Cut Policy and that they have contacted all adjacent property owners and solicited their participation in the extension of utilities.

- g. The Basis of Bearings for the Subdivision Map shall be in terms of the California Coordinate System Zone 6 NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 by use of existing Horizontal Control stations with first order accuracy to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works (Ref. San Diego County Subdivision Ordinance Section 81.811).
- h. The Subdivision Map shall be prepared to show two measured ties from the boundary of the subject property to existing Horizontal Control station(s) having California coordinate values of first order accuracy as published in the County of San Diego's Horizontal Control book. These tie lines to the existing control shall be shown in relation to the California Coordinate System (i.e. Grid bearings and Grid distances). All other distances shown on the map are to be shown as ground distances. A combined factor for conversion of Ground-to-Grid distances shall be shown on the map, all to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works (Ref. San Diego County Subdivision Ordinance Section 81.811 and 81.506(j)).

MISCELLANEOUS

12. Standard Conditions 25-29.

ADOPTION STATEMENT: This Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted and above California Environmental Quality Act findings made by the:

Planning Commission	
on <u>May 22, 2009</u>	
Brin R Bay	

Brian Baca, Chief Project Planning Division

BB:BF:be

REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Daniels Gray Rabbit Hollow Tentative Map Time Extension PDS2016-TM-5364TE

May 12, 2017

<u>I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE</u> – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICA	ABLE/EXEMPT	
subject to the	Habitat Loss	Permit/Co	oastal Sage Scru	ts do not contain ub Ordinance. Th o Ordinance finding	nerefore,
			project conform ation Ordinance?	to the Multiple	Species
	YES N	o	NOT APPLICABLI	E/EXEMPT	
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located within the boundaries of the draft North County Multiple Species Conservation Program which has not yet been adopted and the site is not within lands designated as a Pre-approved Mitigation Area. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.					
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLIC	ABLE/EXEMPT	
				ublic Utilities Districes. The project will	

any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Wetland and Wetland Buffers: The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils; the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants; nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe: This project is not located in a Floodway or Floodplain.

Steep Slopes: The average slope for the property is 9.70 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property.

Sensitive Habitats: No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined during a site visit conducted by Bobbie Stephenson on September 14, 2007. Therefore, the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs, and a survey by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Gail Wright, on May 26, 2004, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE		
The project Storm Water Management Plan for this project has been submitted, and DPW has reviewed it and found it in compliance with the Watershed Protection Ordinance (WPO). The plan is adequate for CEQA purposes.					
<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE		
The proposal would not expose poople to per generate notantially circuitional mains					

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.